

Shri Raju Ambedkar, S/o Sh. Ram Hari,
#13, Ram Vihar, Near Guru
Gobind Singh Avenue, Jalandhar.

--Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Special DGP, State Armed Police,
PAP Campus, Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority:

O/o Special DGP, State Armed Police,
PAP Campus, Jalandhar.

Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4665 of 2021

Present:- Shri Raju Ambedkar, appellant is present.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Special DGP, State Armed Police,
PAP Campus, Jalandhar.

ORDER

Shri Raju Ambedkar, appellant filed RTI application dated 23.06.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Special DGP, State Armed Police, PAP Campus, Jalandhar. A reply was sent to him by the SPIO vide letter no.23044 dated 15.07.2021. Not satisfied with the reply of the SPIO, the appellant filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 29.07.2021 and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 11.10.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The appellant is present today, whereas the respondent is absent.

The appellant stated that he wants to withdraw his appeal as he has received the said information in another case.

The SPIO though is absent today, but he has sent a mail to the Commission on 24.11.2021, diarized vide no. 26417, which is a copy of letter no. 40346 dated 22.11.2021 along with a written reply by Shri Deepak Hilori, IPS, AIGP, PAP,-cum-SPIO, copy of Punjab Govt. Gazette notification dated 07.06.2016, copy of letter no. 23044 dated 15.07.2021, copy of letter no. 37554 dated 28.10.2021 and copies of orders passed by the Punjab Station Information Commission in different cases.

I have gone through the written reply sent by the SPIO and copy of Gazette Notification issued by the Govt. of Punjab dated 7th June, 2016 through which some security agencies have been exempted from the purview of Right to Information Act, 2005 and at point no. 2 of the Notification Armed Police including Punjab Armed Police has been exempted by the Govt. of Punjab. So, the Punjab Armed Police (PAP) does not come within the ambit of Right to Information Act, 2005 and is exempted U/s 24(4) of the Act by the Punjab Government vide Notification No. S.O.49/C.A..22/2005/S.24/2016 dated 07.06.2016. Moreover the appellant himself wants to withdraw his appeal, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 01.12.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Harjinder Singh,
S/o Shri Darshan Singh,
R/o Village Abuwal,
Tehsil Raikot, Distt. Ludhiana.

--Complainant.

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o BDPO, Block-1,
Ludhiana.

..Respondent

Complaint Case No. 1208 of 2021

Present:- Shri Amarpreet Singh is present on behalf of Shri Harjinder Singh, complainant/applicant.
Shri Sukhwinder Singh, Panchayat Officer is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Block-1, Ludhiana.

O R D E R

Shri Harjinder Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 16.08.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Block-1, Ludhiana. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO, then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 11.10.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

Shri Amarpreet Singh is present on behalf of the applicant and Shri Sukhwinder Singh, Panchayat Officer is present on behalf of the SPIO.

Shri Amarpreet Singh, who is present on behalf of the applicant stated that the SPIO has not provided any information to the applicant. He further requested that the sought for information be provided to the applicant at the earliest possible.

The respondent requested that the applicant may visit the office of the SPIO and the sought for information would be provided to him.

So, the applicant is directed to visit the office of the SPIO tomorrow at 10:00 A.M. and the SPIO is further directed to resolve the matter involved in the RTI application and send compliance to the Commission accordingly.

As the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, so no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission. In case the applicant would not be satisfied with the information provided to him by the SPIO, then he is at liberty to file First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority. With this observation the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 01.12.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Jaspal Singh,
S/o Shri Dalip Singh,
R/o Village Chathewala,
Tehsil Talwandi Saboo,
Distt. Bathinda.

--Complainant.

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o BDPO, Talwandi Saboo,
Distt. Bathinda.

..Respondent

Complaint Case No. 1210 of 2021

Present:- Shri Jaspal Singh, complainant/applicant is absent.
Shri Rajinder Singh, Panchayat Secretary is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Talwandi Saboo, Distt. Bathinda.

O R D E R

Shri Jaspal Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 19.08.2021. The RTI application was transferred U/s 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 to the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Talwandi Saboo, Distt. Bathinda vide letter dated 27.08.2021. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO, then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 11.10.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The applicant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present and he stated that the sought for information has already been sent to the applicant through registered post on 22.11.2021 and a copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission for compliance.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the respondent, which is a copy of letter dated 22.11.2021 vide which point-wise information comprising of 31 pages has been sent to the applicant through registered post on 22.11.2021. The respondent has also annexed the photo copy of postal receipt dated 22.11.2021 along with the said letter.

As the SPIO has sent 31 pages of information to the applicant through registered post on 22.11.2021 and resolved the matter involved in the RTI application, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 01.12.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Shri Ravinder Kataria,
64, New Sant Fateh Singh Nagar,
Dugri Road, Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate,
Phillaur.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate,
Phillaur.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1723 of 2021

Present:- Shri Ravinder Kataria, appellant is present.
Shri Prabhjot Singh, SPIO-cum-Sr. Asstt, O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate,
Phillaur is present.

ORDER

Shri Ravinder Kataria, appellant filed RTI application dated 14.12.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate, Phillaur.

Both the parties are personally present today.

The respondent SPIO submitted a self attested affidavit to the Commission. The copy of the said affidavit is taken in the file of the Commission and the original affidavit has been handed over to the appellant during the course of hearing.

I have gone through the Order dated 11.11.2021 and the SPIO was directed to either provide the remaining information to the appellant or submit a duly attested affidavit that this part of information sought by the appellant is not available in the office of the public authority and come personally present on the next date of hearing.

The SPIO has complied with the Order of the Commission and personally come present and submitted an affidavit, a copy of which has been taken in the file of the Commission. I have gone through the contents of the affidavit and it is submitted by the SPIO that the information had already been supplied to the appellant vide letter no.1317 dated 29.10.2021 and no other record as demanded by the appellant is available in this office.

So, as per the affidavit of the SPIO the information had already been provided to the appellant vide letter no.1317 dated 29.10.2021 and no other record as demanded by the appellant is available in the office of the SPIO. Hence the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 01.12.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.